Application No.: 10/626,675

Art Unit: 1763

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 030901

**REMARKS** 

Upon entry of this Amendment, claims 1, 3-7 and 10-12 will be pending in the present

application. Claims 3-7 were previously withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1 and 11 are

herein amended. Support for this amendment is found throughout the drawings, e.g., Fig. 3.

Accordingly, no new matter has been entered.

It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office action

dated September 5, 2007.

**Examiner Interview** 

Applicant appreciates the courtesies extended by Examiner Kacker during the personal

interview conducted on February 27, 2008 and during the teleconferences preceding this

personal interview. The subject matter of this interview is incorporated into Applicant's remarks

presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102(e)/103(a)

Claims 1 and 10-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by, or in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Goodman et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,454,865).

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's characterization of the cited

reference for the reasons discussed in Applicant's response filed on June 28, 2007.

However, to expedite prosecution and clarify the subject matter of the present invention,

Applicant herein further amends claims 1 and 11 to recite that "gas inlet notches are located in

-7-

Application No.: 10/626,675

Art Unit: 1763

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 030901

diametrically opposite positions on the side surface of the susceptor with respect to a center point

of the susceptor." Support for this amendment is found, for example, in Fig. 3. Nowhere does

Goodman et al describe having gas inlet notches located in diametric opposite positions on the

side surface of a susceptor plate. Furthermore, it would not be obvious to modify Goodman et al

to do, in part, because Goodman et al incorporates a completely different structure wherein gas is

supplied to the pocket through recesses provided at the bottom of the susceptor. See Fig. 1.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the §102/103 rejection

of claims 1 and 11.

In view of the above remarks, Applicant requests that the rejection of dependent claims

10 and 12 also be withdrawn based on dependency from independent claims 1 and 11.

Conclusion

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicant

submits that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants requests

such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

- 8 -

Application No.: 10/626,675

Art Unit: 1763

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 030901

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Darrin A. Auito

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 56,024

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

DAA/jac